

Categorized Responses and Actions - 1st draft 10-25-06

Category	Frequency	Sub-area	Comment, Suggestion or Need
Appendix Database	V-High		
		Historical perspective	Need earlier data; include canning and anecdotal
		Smolt record	Earlier record; regional record
Habitat	V-High		
		Chapter	Dedicated habitat chapter
		Current initiatives	Identify existing and proposed work
		VSP treatment	Closer tie of habitat to viability
Management	High		
		History	Hatchery programs; harvest regulations
		Decisions analysis	Premis for and results of summary and analysis
		Alternatives	Recommend different approaches
Run Timing	Med		
		Early run loss of wild	Segregated harvest program impacts
		March 15th survey start	Stream survey entire earlier wild run
Distribution Maps	Low		
		Map plotting errors	Fix Mid-Columbia (Yakima River)
		Calculation errors	Habitat more informative than total mileage
Integrated Programs	V-High		
		Strong integration bias	Need to move slowly, unproven technology
		Risk analysis	Further research is necessary
		Monitoring need	Comprehensive, pre-requisite to initiation
		Sunset provision	5-years (1 Gener.); or if success isn't established
Wild Mortalities	M-Low		
		Release mortalities	Tighten assumptions; more specific
		Missing other sources	Net drop-out, poaching, etc.
Economic Assessment	M-High		
		Absolute scale	Justify numbers further
		Errors and omissions	Include C&R, WSR
Extinction Risk	M-Low		
		Method	Discuss alternatives and choice
		Assumptions	Use average escapements instead of last one
Comprehension/Readability	M-Low		
		Chapter 3 difficult level	Too technical; needs additional material
		Chapter 4 misunderstood	Intent not defined; failed to meet expectations
Wild Management Zones	M-High		
		More treatment	Define and list recommended streams
		Better definition of	Include all salmonids; sanctuaries from harvest
Residents	High		
		Vital component	Protection needed; but not a fall-back plan "B"
		Hybridization	Genetic risk to integrated programs
		Competition	Spawning, food, rearing habitat, predation
Reduced SARs	M-High		
		Density effects	Discuss residuals, resident trout component
		Density barrier	Overwhelmed by hatchery plants - multiple species
		Wild smolt SAR	Need smolt trapping data statewide
Stock Status	Med		
		SaSI update	Population list; address the "Unknowns"

Categorized Responses and Actions - 1st draft 10-25-06

Category	Frequency	Sub-area	Comment, Suggestion or Need
		Redefine	Definitions, criteria
		Need historical status	1992 and 2002 are insufficient for trend evaluation
Management Tradeoffs	Low		
		Case study graphs	Need more detail and explanation
Credibility and Peer Review	Med		
		Literature	Missing significant citations (provided)
		Independent panel	Need to have panel or consultant review for credibility
		Institutional barriers	Examine agency structure; co-manager impediments
VSP analysis	M-High		
		Benefits of the attempt	Matrix component considerations
		Criteria issues	Data vs Best Professional Judgment proxies
		Core population	What scale? DPS, subregion, management unit, stock
		EDT limiting factors	Should be included in the appendix
Benchmarks and Goals	Med		
		Escapement goals	MSH recalculate; explore alternatives (presented)
		Conservation criteria	Develop river-specific goals and levels
Research	Med		
		Hat/Wild relative survival	Need more controlled research on all life stages
		Puget Sound data	Need to re-establish or replace Snow Creek
		Stray rates	Critical to hatchery decision evaluations
Monitoring	High		
		Chapter	Methods, purposes and options; current programs
		March 15th survey start	Need to survey earlier to evaluate entire run
		Monitoring needs	Gaps and priorities
Summer steelhead	Med-L		
		Life history	More documentation of variations
		Status and abundance	Monitoring need
		Resident interactions	Competition, spawning, hybridization
		Residualization	Cause and effect